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# Notes

* That the Give It A Go! Program has engaged more students than ever before, with a 420% increase over last year.[[1]](#footnote-1)
* Societies support has taken a dive this year with resource cutbacks from both the University & EHSU. Both EHSU’s Policy & Campaigns Committee (PCC) and Student Council have noted resource issues within Activities, and PCC has formally recommended the area be further resourced.[[2]](#footnote-2)
* Several other SUs has recognised student activities is a broad area and have separated Societies/Community & Sports/Athletics Union. Some SUs that have identified work load issues & show best practice include;
  + Salford
  + MMU
  + Bangor
  + Newcastle
  + Warwick
  + Plymouth
  + Southampton

# Believes

* Themes within the current Activities sabbatical remit include; Societies, Sustainability, Sports Clubs & Events.
* That the current Activities sabbatical role is unable to effectively represent all aspects of its remit within the 9-5 contract.
* That themes within this remit are distinguished enough to split into two roles, especially given the continuously growing size & participation of the Give It A Go program & environmentally sustainable policy.

# Resolves

* To divide the current Activities sabbatical role into two roles, with remits for:
  + Societies & Sustainability
  + Sports & Events.
* To continually invest in and value the importance of all student activities, including societies and sustainability.
* To continually review and enhance student engagement with activities.

1. 2279 students engaged with day time GIAG events from 07/09/18 to 16/11/18 in comparison to all engagement data collected during GIAG events last academic year (435 students). [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. PCC 16/10/18; Student Council 15/11/18. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)